



Legislation Details (With Text)

File #: Ord #9306-19 **Version:** 1 **Name:** Ord #9306-19 Meadowlark Ln Vacation
Type: Ordinance **Status:** Passed
File created: 7/19/2019 **In control:** Public Works
On agenda: 8/14/2019 **Final action:** 8/14/2019
Title: Approve the request from City of Clearwater to vacate the North portion of the 50 foot right-of-way of Meadow Lark Lane (also known as Meadowlark Lane) in Revised Map, Town of Bay View, as recorded in Plat Book H6, Page 23, of the Public Records of Hillsborough County, Florida, of which Pinellas County was once a part; lying South of Gulf to Bay Boulevard (State Road 60) and North of a line located parallel and 330 feet South of the East/West Centerline of Section 16, Township 29 South, Range 16 East, also being the centerline of Gulf to Bay Boulevard (State Road 60); less the 25 foot-wide portion previously vacated as recorded in Official Records Book 19332, Page 1499 of the Public Records of Pinellas County, Florida, and pass Ordinance 9306-19 on first reading.

Sponsors:

Indexes:

Code sections:

Attachments: 1. Ordinance 9306-19 Meadowlark Ln, 2. EXHIBIT A 9306-19, 3. MeadowLarkLn_Aerial Map

Date	Ver.	Action By	Action	Result
8/14/2019	1	City Council		
8/12/2019	1	Council Work Session		

SUBJECT/RECOMMENDATION:

Approve the request from City of Clearwater to vacate the North portion of the 50 foot right-of-way of Meadow Lark Lane (also known as Meadowlark Lane) in Revised Map, Town of Bay View, as recorded in Plat Book H6, Page 23, of the Public Records of Hillsborough County, Florida, of which Pinellas County was once a part; lying South of Gulf to Bay Boulevard (State Road 60) and North of a line located parallel and 330 feet South of the East/West Centerline of Section 16, Township 29 South, Range 16 East, also being the centerline of Gulf to Bay Boulevard (State Road 60); less the 25 foot-wide portion previously vacated as recorded in Official Records Book 19332, Page 1499 of the Public Records of Pinellas County, Florida, and pass Ordinance 9306-19 on first reading.

SUMMARY:

City Staff recommends the vacation of the right-of-way. Said right-of-way is not necessary for municipal use and it is deemed to be in the best interest of the City and the general public that the same be vacated.