RAYSOR Tran	sportation Consulting		
ΤΕCΗΝΙCΑ	LMEMORANDUM		
То:	411ES, LLC 2753 FL-580, SUITE 110 CLEARWATER, FLORIDA 33761	S. S	NO. 60919
FROM:	MICHAEL D. RAYSOR, P.E. RAYSOR TRANSPORTATION CONSULTING, LLC	*	* :* :
Subject:	HARBORVIEW HOTEL TRAFFIC IMPACT STUDY	This item has been digitally signed and sealed by Michael Daniel Raysor P.E., on the date	STATE OF
Date:	AUGUST 27, 2021	of this document are not considered signed and sealed and the signature must be verified on any electronic copies.	ONAL ENGLIS

1.0 | INTRODUCTION

This technical memorandum documents a TRAFFIC IMPACT STUDY undertaken in association with development permitting for the **"HARBORVIEW HOTEL"** project, located at 408 East Shore Drive, in Clearwater, Florida. The subject site is proposed to be developed to consist of a 92 unit hotel, with ancillary marina (boat dockage), integrated parking structure, adjacent surface parking, and valet operations. Refer to *FIGURE 1.0* for the project site location map and *FIGURE 2.0* for the project site concept plan.

2.0 | PROJECT SITE TRIP GENERATION

The daily and peak hour trip generation for the project site was estimated using trip characteristic data, as identified in the Institute of Transportation Engineers (ITE) *Trip Generation Manual* (10th edition); as summarized in *TABLE 1.0*. The project site is anticipated to generate 770 trips per day, with 43 trips during the AM peak hour and 56 trips during the PM peak hour. The worst-case period was determined to be the PM peak hour, and was thus used as the analysis period for this study. The distribution of project generated traffic was estimated manually based on area land use patterns and roadway connectivity, as shown in *Figure 3.0* for PM peak hour conditions.

ITE	Land Use	6:	Wee	kday		AM Pe	ak Hour			PM Pea	k Hour	
LUC	Description	Size	Rate	Trips	Rate	Trips	Enter	Exit	Rate	Trips	Enter	Exit
310	Hotel	92 rooms	8.36	770	0.47	43	25	18	0.60	56	28	28

TABLE 1.0 | PROJECT SITE TRIP GENERATION ESTIMATE

3

FIGURE 1.0 | PROJECT SITE LOCATION



PAGE 3 OF 10



FIGURE 2.0 | PROJECT SITE CONCEPT PLAN





FIGURE 3.0 | PROJECT GENERATED PM PEAK HOUR TRAFFIC VOLUMES



PAGE 5 OF 10

3.0 | STUDY AREA & ANALYSIS SCENARIOS

The study area included in this analysis consisted of the project site access connections and the adjacent roadway segment of East Shore Drive. The project site is anticipated to be developed within two years or less, therefore 2023 was used as the analysis-horizon for this study.

4.0 | TRAFFIC VOLUMES

Baseline traffic volumes (2015) were identified from the prior traffic studies prepared for the subject site, as shown in *FIGURE 4.0* and documented in *ATTACHMENT A*. Background traffic volumes were estimated through the application of a 1.1% annual growth rate through the 2023 analysis-horizon as shown in *FIGURE 5.0*, where the 1.1% annual growth rate was calculated from historical traffic volumes, as documented in *ATTACHMENT B*. The traffic estimated to be generated by the subject project was added to the background traffic volumes to estimate post-development traffic volumes for use in this study, as shown in *FIGURE 6.0*.

5.0 | ROADWAY SEGMENT ANALYSIS

An analysis of the study roadway segment was performed for PM peak hour post-development conditions. The analysis was conducted using FDOT's generalized service flow-rate tables, as shown in *TABLE 2.0*, and further documented in *ATTACHMENT C*. The results of the analysis indicate that acceptable operating conditions can be anticipated for the study roadway segment, at level of service "C" for PM peak hour post-development conditions.

TABLE 2.0	PM PEAK HOUR POST-DEVELOPMENT ROADWAY SEGMENT ANALYSIS SUMMARY
-----------	--

Roadway Segment	LOS Std	Service Volume	Traffic Volume	LOS	V/C Ratio
East Shore Drive [north of project site]	D	1,197	284	с	0.24
East Shore Drive [south of project site]	D	1,197	316	с	0.26







PAGE 6 OF 10



FIGURE 4.0 | BASELINE PM PEAK HOUR TRAFFIC VOLUMES



PAGE 7 OF 10



FIGURE 5.0 | BACKGROUND PM PEAK HOUR TRAFFIC VOLUMES



FIGURE 6.0 | POST-DEVELOPMENT PEAK HOUR TRAFFIC VOLUMES



6.0 | SITE ACCESS OPERATIONAL ANALYSIS

An operational analysis of the site access intersections was performed for PM peak hour conditions using *Highway Capacity Manual* methodologies calculated by the *Synchro* software program; as summarized in *TABLE 3.0*, and further documented in *ATTACHMENT D*. The results of the analysis indicate that acceptable operating conditions can be anticipated for the project site driveway connections, with all movements identified to operate at level of service "B" (or better) for PM peak hour post-development conditions.

		l	Eastbound	I	v	Vestboun	d	N	orthbour	nd	Sc	outhbound	b
Location	Metric	L	т	R	L	т	R	L	т	R	L	т	R
٨	LOS	[1]	[1]	[1]	В	[1]	[2]	[1]	[3]	[1]	[1]	[3]	[1]
iveway	Delay	[1]	[1]	[1]	10.2	[1]	[2]	[1]	[3]	[1]	[1]	[3]	[1]
Ā	V/C	[1]	[1]	[1]	0.01	[1]	[2]	[1]	[3]	[1]	[1]	[3]	[1]
в	LOS	[1]	[1]	[1]	В	[1]	[2]	[1]	[3]	[1]	[1]	[3]	[1]
iveway	Delay	[1]	[1]	[1]	10.5	[1]	[2]	[1]	[3]	[1]	[1]	[3]	[1]
ā	V/C	[1]	[1]	[1]	0.04	[1]	[2]	[1]	[3]	[1]	[1]	[3]	[1]
U	LOS	[1]	[1]	[1]	[1]	[1]	[1]	[1]	[3]	[2]	[2]	Α	[1]
iveway	Delay	[1]	[1]	[1]	[1]	[1]	[1]	[1]	[3]	[2]	[2]	1.5	[1]
D	V/C	[1]	[1]	[1]	[1]	[1]	[1]	[1]	[3]	[2]	[2]	0.07	[1]
۵	LOS	[1]	[1]	[1]	[1]	[1]	[1]	[1]	[3]	[2]	[2]	Α	[1]
iveway	Delay	[1]	[1]	[1]	[1]	[1]	[1]	[1]	[3]	[2]	[2]	0.2	[1]
ā	V/C	[1]	[1]	[1]	[1]	[1]	[1]	[1]	[3]	[2]	[2]	0.06	[1]
Ē	LOS	Α	[1]	[2]	[1]	[1]	[1]	[2]	Α	[1]	[1]	[3]	[2]
iveway	Delay	9.0	[1]	[2]	[1]	[1]	[1]	[2]	0.1	[1]	[1]	[3]	[2]
D	V/C	0.02	[1]	[2]	[1]	[1]	[1]	[2]	0.16	[1]	[1]	[3]	[2]
[1] NOT APP	LICABLE [2] SHARED	LANE [3]	UNOPPOS	ED MOVEN	IENT							

TABLE 3.0 | PM PEAK HOUR POST-DEVELOPMENT SITE ACCESS INTERSECTION OPERATIONAL ANALYSIS SUMMARY

7.0 | SITE ACCESS TURN LANE WARRANT EVALUATION

The potential need for new site access turn lanes on East Shore Drive at the project site driveway connections was evaluated; as documented in *ATTACHMENT E*. The analysis was performed based on the turn lane warrant criteria pursuant to the *National Cooperative Highway Research Program, Report No. 279*. The results of the analysis found that site access turn lanes are not warranted on East Shore Drive at the project site driveway connections.

8.0 CONCLUSION

Based on the data, analyses and findings presented within this TRAFFIC IMPACT STUDY prepared in association with development permitting for the **"HARBORVIEW HOTEL"** project, the following is concluded.

✤ THE ADJACENT ROADWAY SEGMENT OF EAST SHORE DRIVE IS ANTICIPATED TO OPERATE ACCEPTABLY FOR PM PEAK HOUR POST-DEVELOPMENT TRAFFIC CONDITIONS.

THE SITE ACCESS INTERSECTIONS ARE ANTICIPATED TO OPERATE ACCEPTABLY FOR PM PEAK HOUR POST-DEVELOPMENT TRAFFIC CONDITIONS.

SITE ACCESS TURN LANES WERE FOUND TO NOT BE WARRANTED ON EAST SHORE DRIVE FOR PM PEAK HOUR POST-DEVELOPMENT TRAFFIC CONDITIONS.



TRAFFIC IMPACT STUDY

ATTACHMENT A

BASELINE TRAFFIC VOLUMES





FIGURE 4.0 EXISTING TRAFFIC VOLUMES (2015)

TRAFFIC IMPACT STUDY

ATTACHMENT B

HISTORICAL TRAFFIC VOLUME GROWTH



Harbor View

Growth Rate Calculations

	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	
Linear Trend	11,914	11,789	11,663	11,537	11,411	11,286	I	I	I	I	I	1.1%
Total	11,800	11,800	11,800	11,600	11,400	11,200	:	:	1	1	:	Rate >>>
Location D		:	:	:	:	:	:	ł	1	1	:	inual Growt
Location C		1	1	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	5 Year An
Location B	-	I	I	:	:	:	:	I	1	1	:	
Location A	11,800	11,800	11,800	11,600	11,400	11,200	:	ł	:	:	:	
Year	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010	2009	



SOURCE

Location A: FDOT Count Station 15-9043 [Mandalay Avenue, north of SR 60] Location B: N/A Location C: N/A Location D: N/A

FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION TRANSPORTATION STATISTICS OFFICE 2019 HISTORICAL AADT REPORT

COUNTY: 15 - PINELLAS

SITE:	9043 - MA	NDALAY	AVE,	N OF	SR 60						
YEAR	AADT		DIRE	CTION	1	DIR	ECTION	2	*K FACTOR	D FACTO	R T FACTOR
2010	11000	 T7								 EE 7	
2019	11900	v	IN	5700		ъ	9100		9.00	55.7	0 3.30
2018	11800	R	N	5700		S	6100		9.00	55.5	0 3.20
2017	11800	Т	Ν	5700		S	6100		9.00	54.5	0 2.90
2016	11600	S	Ν	5600		S	6000		9.00	55.9	0 2.90
2015	11400	F	Ν	5500		S	5900		9.00	55.0	0 2.90
2014	11200	С	Ν	5400		S	5800		9.00	55.4	0 3.20
2013	13000	S		0			0		9.00	55.2	0 3.00
2012	13000	F		0			0		9.00	55.0	0 2.80
2011	13000	С	Ν	0		S	0		9.00	56.5	0 3.10

TRAFFIC IMPACT STUDY

ATTACHMENT C

FDOT GENERALIZED CAPACITIES



TABLE 4

Generalized **Peak Hour Two-Way** Volumes for Florida's **Urbanized Areas**¹

	12/18/12
INTERRUPTED FLOW FACILITIES	UNINTERRUPTED FLOW FACILITIES
STATE SIGNALIZED ARTERIALS	FREEWAYS
Class I (40 mph or higher posted speed limit) Lanes Median B C D E 2 Undivided * 1,510 1,600 ** 4 Divided * 3,420 3,580 ** 6 Divided * 5,250 5,390 ** 8 Divided * 7,090 7,210 ** Class II (35 mph or slower posted speed limit)	Lanes B C D E 4 4,120 5,540 6,700 7,190 6 6,130 8,370 10,060 11,100 8 8,230 11,100 13,390 15,010 10 10,330 14,040 16,840 18,930 12 14,450 18,880 22,030 22,860
Lanes Median B C E 2 Undivided * 660 1,330 1,410 4 Divided * 1,310 2,920 3,040 6 Divided * 2,090 4,500 4,590 8 Divided * 2,880 6,060 6,130 Non-State Signalized Roadway Adjustments (Alter corresponding state volumes by the indicated percent.) Non-State Signalized Roadways -10%	Present in Both Directions Metering + 1,800 + 5%
Median & Turn Lane Adjustments Exclusive Exclusive Adjustment Lanes Median Left Lanes Right Lanes Factors 2 Divided Yes No +5% 2 Undivided No No -20% Multi Undivided Yes No -5%	UNINTERRUPTED FLOW HIGHWAYS Lanes Median B C D E 2 Undivided 770 1,530 2,170 2,990 4 Divided 3,300 4,660 5,900 6,530 6 Divided 4,950 6,990 8,840 9,790
 – – – Yes + 5% One-Way Facility Adjustment Multiply the corresponding two-directional volumes in this table by 0.6 	Uninterrupted Flow Highway AdjustmentsLanesMedianExclusive left lanesAdjustment factors2DividedYes+5%MultiUndividedYes-5%MultiUndividedNo-25%
BICYCLE MODE ² (Multiply motorized vehicle volumes shown below by number of directional roadway lanes to determine two-way maximum service volumes.) Paved Shoulder/Bicycle Lane Coverage B C D E 0-49% * 260 680 1,770	¹ Values shown are presented as peak hour two-way volumes for levels of service and are for the automobile/truck modes unless specifically stated. This table does not constitute a standard and should be used only for general planning applications. The computer models from which this table is derived should be used for more specific planning applications. The table and deriving computer models should not be used for corridor or intersection design, where more refined techniques exist. Calculations are based on planning applications of the Highway Capacity Manual and the Transit Capacity and Quality of Service Manual. ² Level of cervice for the bixed and pedestrian modes in this table is based on pumber.
50-84% 190 600 1,770 >1,770 85-100% 830 1,770 >1,770 **	of motorized vehicles, not number of bicyclists or pedestrian modes in the table is based on number of motorized vehicles, not number of bicyclists or pedestrians using the facility.
PEDESTRIAN MODE ² (Multiply motorized vehicle volumes shown below by number of directional roadway lanes to determine two-way maximum service volumes.)	 * Cannot be achieved using table input value defaults. ** Not applicable for that level of service letter grade. For the automobile mode, volume greater that level of service D became E because intersection cause disc have
Sidewalk Coverage B C D E 0-49% * 250 850 50-84% * 150 780 1,420 85-100% 340 960 1,560 >1,770	volumes greater than tevel of service D become r because intersection capacities have been reached. For the bicycle mode, the level of service letter grade (including F) is not achievable because there is no maximum vehicle volume threshold using table input value defaults.
BUS MODE (Scheduled Fixed Route) ³	
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	Source: Florida Department of Transportation Systems Planning Office www.dot.state.fl.us/planning/systems/sm/los/default.shtm

2012 FDOT QUALITY/LEVEL OF SERVICE HANDBOOK TABLES

TRAFFIC IMPACT STUDY

ATTACHMENT D

INTERSECTION ANALYSIS



	-	. 🔨	†	1	×	↓ I
Movement	۲ W/RL		NRT	NRR	SBL	SRT
	VVDL	VUDIN		NDIN	JDL	301
	7	2	226	0	0	T 56
Future Volume (Veh/h)	7	2	220	0	0	56
Sign Control	Stop	2	Free	0	0	Free
Grade	0%		0%			0%
Peak Hour Factor	0 02	0 02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0 02
Hourly flow rate (yph)	0.32	0.32	246	0.52	0.52	61
Pedestrians	0	2	240	0	0	01
Lane Width (ft)						
Walking Speed (ft/s)						
Percent Blockage						
Right turn flare (veh)						
Median type			None			None
Median storade veh)			None			None
Linstream signal (ft)						
nX platoon unblocked						
vC conflicting volume	307	246			246	
vC1_stage 1 conf vol	507	240			240	
vC2_stage 2 conf vol						
	307	246			246	
tC. single (s)	6.4	62			<u>4</u> 1	
tC, single (s)	0.4	0.2			4.1	
tE(s)	35	33			2.2	
$r_{\rm c}$	0.0	100			100	
cM capacity (yeb/b)	99 685	703			1320	
civi capacity (venini)	005	135			1520	
Direction, Lane #	WB 1	NB 1	SB 1			
Volume Total	10	246	61			
Volume Left	8	0	0			
Volume Right	2	0	0			
cSH	704	1700	1700			
Volume to Capacity	0.01	0.14	0.04			
Queue Length 95th (ft)	1	0	0			
Control Delay (s)	10.2	0.0	0.0			
Lane LOS	В					
Approach Delay (s)	10.2	0.0	0.0			
Approach LOS	В					
Intersection Summarv						
Average Delay			0.3			
Intersection Capacity Utilization	n		21.9%	IC		f Service
Analysis Period (min)			15	10		

	1		†	1	×	↓ I
Movement	WRL	WRR	- NRT	NBR	SBL	SBT
Lane Configurations		WDR		NDR	ODL	
Traffic Volume (veh/h)	25	2	T 224	0	0	T 63
Future Volume (Veh/h)	25	2	224	0	0	63
Sign Control	Stop	-	Free	J	J	Free
Grade	0%		0%			0%
Peak Hour Factor	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92
Hourly flow rate (yph)	27	2	243	0.02	0.02	68
Pedestrians		_	210	Ŭ	Ŭ	00
Lane Width (ft)						
Walking Speed (ft/s)						
Percent Blockage						
Right turn flare (veh)						
Median type			None			None
Median storage veh)						
Upstream signal (ft)						
pX, platoon unblocked						
vC, conflicting volume	311	243			243	
vC1, stage 1 conf vol						
vC2, stage 2 conf vol						
vCu, unblocked vol	311	243			243	
tC, single (s)	6.4	6.2			4.1	
tC, 2 stage (s)						
tF (s)	3.5	3.3			2.2	
p0 queue free %	96	100			100	
cM capacity (veh/h)	681	796			1323	
Direction. Lane #	WB 1	NB 1	SB 1			
Volume Total	29	243	68			
Volume Left	27	0	0			
Volume Right	2	0	0			
cSH	688	1700	1700			
Volume to Capacity	0.04	0.14	0.04			
Queue Length 95th (ft)	3	0	0			
Control Delay (s)	10.5	0.0	0.0			
Lane LOS	B	0.0	0.0			
Approach Delay (s)	10.5	0.0	0.0			
Approach LOS	В					
Intersection Summary						
			0.0			
Intersection Canacity Litilization	n		21.8%			f Service
Analysis Period (min)			15	10		

	✓	•	†	1	1	Ŧ
Movement	WBL	WBR	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT
Lane Configurations			1⊾			
Traffic Volume (veh/h)	0	0	226	11	16	74
Future Volume (Veh/h)	0	0	226	11	16	74
Sign Control	Stop		Free			Free
Grade	0%		0%			0%
Peak Hour Factor	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92
Hourly flow rate (vph)	0	0	246	12	17	80
Pedestrians						
Lane Width (ft)						
Walking Speed (ft/s)						
Percent Blockage						
Right turn flare (veh)						
Median type			None			None
Median storage veh)						
Upstream signal (ft)						
pX, platoon unblocked						
vC, conflicting volume	366	252			258	
vC1, stage 1 conf vol						
vC2, stage 2 conf vol						
vCu, unblocked vol	366	252			258	
tC, single (s)	6.4	6.2			4.1	
tC, 2 stage (s)						
tF (s)	3.5	3.3			2.2	
p0 queue free %	100	100			99	
cM capacity (veh/h)	625	787			1307	
Direction, Lane #	NB 1	SB 1				
Volume Total	258	97				
Volume Left	0	17				
Volume Right	12	0				
cSH	1700	1307				
Volume to Capacity	0.15	0.01				
Queue Length 95th (ft)	0	1				
Control Delay (s)	0.0	1.5				
Lane LOS		А				
Approach Delay (s)	0.0	1.5				
Approach LOS						
Intersection Summary						
Average Delay			0.4			
Intersection Capacity Utiliz	ration		21.0%	IC	Ulevelo	of Service
Analysis Period (min)			15	10	2 20:010	

	-	. 🔨	†	/	· 🖌	Ļ
Movement	T WRI	WBR		NBR	SBI	T SBT
	VVDL	VUR		NDN	SDL	- 361
	0	0	237	7	2	4 72
Future Volume (Veh/h)	0	0	237	7	2	72
Sign Control	Stop	0	Free	I	2	Free
Grade	0%		0%			0%
Peak Hour Factor	0.02	0 02	0.02	0 02	0.02	0.02
Hourly flow rate (yph)	0.52	0.32	258	0.5Z	0.52	79
Pedestrians	0	0	250	0	2	70
Lane Width (ft)						
Walking Speed (ft/s)						
Percent Blockage						
Right turn flare (veh)						
Median type			None			None
Median storage veh)			None			None
Linstream signal (ft)						
nX platoon unblocked						
vC conflicting volume	344	262			266	
vC1_stage 1 conf vol	344	202			200	
vC2 stage 2 conf vol						
vCu, unblocked vol	344	262			266	
tC single (s)	6.4	6.2			4 1	
tC_{2} stage (s)	0.4	0.2			7.1	
tE(s)	35	33			22	
n queue free %	100	100			100	
cM capacity (yeb/b)	651	777			1298	
ew capacity (ven/n)	001				1200	
Direction, Lane #	NB 1	SB 1				
Volume Total	266	80				
Volume Left	0	2				
Volume Right	8	0				
cSH	1700	1298				
Volume to Capacity	0.16	0.00				
Queue Length 95th (ft)	0	0				
Control Delay (s)	0.0	0.2				
Lane LOS		А				
Approach Delay (s)	0.0	0.2				
Approach LOS						
Intersection Summary						
Average Delay			0.0			
Intersection Capacity Utilizat	ion		16.2%	IC		f Service
Analysis Period (min)	.011		15	10		

	≯	\mathbf{i}	•	Ť	Ļ	1
Movement	FBI	FBR	NBI	NBT	SBT	SBR
		LDI			1	CDI
Traffic Volume (veh/b)	""	18	Λ	222	72	16
Future Volume (Veh/h)	2	18	4	222	72	16
Sign Control	Stop	10	4	Eroo	Free	10
Crada	3t0p			00/	00/	
Grade Deals Have Faster	0 %	0.00	0.00	0.70	0.70	0.00
Peak Hour Factor	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92
Hourly flow rate (vph)	2	20	4	241	78	17
Pedestrians						
Lane Width (ft)						
Walking Speed (ft/s)						
Percent Blockage						
Right turn flare (veh)						
Median type				None	None	
Median storage veh)						
Upstream signal (ft)						
pX, platoon unblocked						
vC. conflicting volume	336	86	95			
vC1_stage 1 conf vol						
vC2_stage 2 conf vol						
vCu, unblocked vol	336	86	95			
tC single (s)	6.4	6.2	4 1			
tC_{1} 2 stage (s)	0.4	0.2	7.1			
tE(c)	3.5	3.3	2.2			
$n \left(\frac{3}{2} \right)$	3.0	3.3	2.2			
oM conceity (yeb/b)	100	90	1400			
	000	972	1499			
Direction, Lane #	EB 1	NB 1	SB 1			
Volume Total	22	245	95			
Volume Left	2	4	0			
Volume Right	20	0	17			
cSH	932	1499	1700			
Volume to Capacity	0.02	0.00	0.06			
Queue Length 95th (ft)	2	0	0			
Control Delay (s)	9.0	0.1	0.0			
Lane LOS	A	A				
Approach Delay (s)	9.0	0.1	0.0			
Approach LOS	A					
Intersection Summary						
Average Delay			0.6			
Intersection Capacity Litilizat	tion		24 9%	IC		f Service
Analysis Period (min)			15	IC.		

TRAFFIC IMPACT STUDY

ATTACHMENT E

TURN LANE WARRANT EVALUATION





ATTACHMENT E - 1 of 5



ATTACHMENT E - 2 of 5



ATTACHMENT E - 3 of 5



