

# This application is <u>REQUIRED</u> for all Level One Flexible Standard Development (FLS) and Level Two Flexible Development (FLD) applications. All applications must be submitted online at: <u>epermit.myclearwater.com</u>

It is the responsibility of the applicant to submit complete and correct information. Incomplete or incorrect information may invalidate your application. All applications are to be filled out completely and correctly and submitted (including plans and documents, uploaded, processed and finalized) by 12 noon on the scheduled deadline date *submittal calendar*. The applicant, by filing this application, agrees to comply with all applicable requirements of the Community Development Code. *Additional information on submittal requirements including worksheets and handouts, etc.* 

FIRE DEPARTMENT PRELIMARY SITE PLAN REVIEW FEE:	\$200 (not applicable for detached dwelling or duplexes)				
APPLICATION FEES:	\$100 (FLS – accessory structures associated with a single-family or duplexes)				
	\$200 (FLS – detached dwellings or duplexes)				
	\$475 (FLS – attached dwellings, mixed-use, and nonresidential uses)				
	\$300 (FLD – detached dwellings, duplexes, and their accessory structures)				
\$1,205 (FLD – attached dwellings, mixed-use, and nonresidential uses)					
_					
Property Owner (Per Deed): Ec	quities Holding Group, Inc.				
Phone Number: 727-229-8208					
Email: David McComas ~ dmcc	comas@europeanequities.com				
Applicant/Primary Contact Name: Housh Ghoavee, CEO					
Company Name: Northside Engineering, Inc.					
Phone Number: 727-443-2869					
Email: housh@northsideengineering.net					
Address of Subject Property: 20	010 Drew Street, Clearwater, Florida 33764				
Tradices of Subject Property.					
Parcel Number (s): 12-29-15-82	2638-003-0050				
rareer rumber (3)					
Site Area (Square feet and Acre	es):				
Zoning: C - Commercial					
Future Land Use: CG - Commercial General					
ruture Lanu Use.	AVINI OUNDINI				
Description of Paguage (must in	ncluda <b>usa</b> raguastad flavihility parking haight atcl				
The request is to seek flevibility	nclude <u>use</u> , requested flexibility, parking, height, etc): for a comprehensive infill redevelopment for a reduction in parking in				
accodance with CDC Section 2-7					
accounte with CDC Section 2-	/ U¬(1 ).				

Page 1 of 5 Revised: 4/19/22



#### **General Information**

Provide the following general information on the proposed project. If not applicable mark N/A. The maximum permitted or required amounts are listed in the Zoning District in the Community Development Code which is available online at:

https://library.municode.com/fl/clearwater/codes/community\_development\_code

<u>Dwelling Units</u> :			
A dwelling unit is a building			
for one family including th	e provision for living, s	sleeping, and con	nplete kitchen facilities.
Max. Permitted: N/A		Proposed:	N/A
Hotel Rooms: A hotel room is an individuuse designed to be occupie occupancy.			•
Max. Permitted: N/A		Proposed:	N/A
<u>Parking</u> : List parking spaces. Parking Development Code (CDC) is prohibited for most uses.			
Required: 22		Proposed:	22
Floor Area Ratio (FAR): Do not include parking gan multiplying the length tim square feet. Do not includ not required for residentia	es the width dimension e parking garages, carp	n for each floor a oorts, stairwells a	nd should be expressed in and elevator shafts. FAR is
Max. Permitted:0.2	25	Proposed:	0.225
Impervious Surface Ratio ISR means a measurement any surface that is not grassurface ratio is the relation the total lot area. <i>Link to a</i>	of intensity of hard su ss or landscaped areas aship between the tota	on private prope l impervious ver	erty. An impervious sus the pervious areas of
Max. Permitted:0.7	76		0.76/13,387sf ge % and square feet.

Page 2 of 5 Revised: 4/19/22



### **General Applicability Criteria**

Provide complete responses to each of the six (6) General Applicability Criteria of Community Development Code Section 3-914.A.1 through 6, explaining how, IN DETAIL, the criteria is met. Use additional sheets as necessary:

The proposed development of the land will be in harmony with the scale, bulk, coverage, density and character of adjacent properties in which it is located.				
This development is existing. Please see Pictures of the Building included. Sheet C1.1 for Density and				
Coverage and Calculations.				
COVERAGE UND CUICUIUM				
2. The proposed development will not hinder or discourage the appropriate development and use of adjacent land and buildings or significantly impair the value thereof.  Previously approved FL-01-12-36. The only modification will be to the interior to create an Ice Cream Shop				
next to the existing Subway.				
3. The proposed development will not adversely affect the health or safety or persons residing or working in the neighborhood of the proposed use.  Previously approved Fl-01-12-36 was for retail services, with the existing Subway an Ice Cream Shop will be a complimentary service.				
4. The proposed development is designed to minimize traffic congestion.  Please see included Parking Study showing the traffic will be in harmony with the previously approved FL.				
5. The proposed development is consistent with the community character of the immediate vicinity of the parcel proposed for development.  The Ice Cream Shop is consistent with the Subway Shop next door.				

6. The design of the proposed development minimizes adverse effects, including visual, acoustic, and olfactory and hours of operation impacts, on adjacent properties.

The proposed development is only interior work the previously approved FL exterior will not be modified. It will remain in harmony with the surroundings. This project is fully constructed and the visual, acoustic is already in place. Hours of operation for an Ice Cream shop is pretty limited by Nature, mostly in the afternoons and early evenings.

Page 3 of 5 Revised: 4/19/22



## Flexibility Criteria or Use Specific Criteria

Provide complete responses to the applicable flexibilitiy criteria (or use specific criteria in US 19 and Downtown Zoning Districts). These criteria are specific to the use and the Zoning District of the subject property and are part of the Community Development Code available online at municode.com: <a href="https://library.municode.com/fl/clearwater/codes/community\_development\_code">https://library.municode.com/fl/clearwater/codes/community\_development\_code</a>
If you need help finding the criteria or standards please contact a Development Review Planner at the Zoning Line 727-562-4604. Use additional sheets as necessary:

Please see response to Flexibility Criteria - Comprehensive Infill Redevelopment Projects.

Page 4 of 5 Revised: 4/19/22



**Affidavit of Ownership** If multiple owners or properties, multiple affidavits may be required.

1.	Provide names of all property owners on deed – PRINT full names:  Equities Holdings Group, Inc. (David McComas)					
2.	That (Lam/we are) the owner(s) and record title holder(s) of the following described property: 2006 Drew Street, Clearwater, Florida 33764					
3.	That this property constitutes the property for which a request for (describe request):  The request is to seek flexibility for a comprehensive infill redevelopment for a reduction in parking in accordance with CDC Section 2-704(F).					
4.	That the undersigned (has/have) appointed and (does/do) appoint: Housh Ghovaee, CEO and Northside Engineering, Inc. as (his/their) agent(s) to execute any petitions or other documents necessary to affect such petition;					
5. 6.	the above-described property;					
7.	That (I/we), the undersigned authority, he	ereby certify that the foregoing is true and correct. $O_{AV} = 116.0$				
	Property Owner David McComas Property Owner					
	Property Owner	Property Owner				
	CTATE OF FLOR	NIDA COVINTIVOE DINIEVA AC				
BE	THIS DAY OF A OFFICER DULL  THIS DAY OF A OFFICER DULL  DAY OF	Y COMMISSIONED BY THE LAWS OF THE STATE OF FLORIDA, ON				
No		xpires: 7/3/27				

Page 5 of 5 Revised: 4/19/22

#### F. Comprehensive infill redevelopment projects.

1. The development or redevelopment is otherwise impractical without deviations from the use and/or development standards set forth in this zoning district;

Response: We are requesting flexibility for a comprehensive infill redevelopment for a reduction in parking, in accordance with CDC Section 2-704(F) more specifically the redevelopment is otherwise impractical without deviation from the parking standards set forth in this zoning district. This redevelopment will be consistent with the goals and policies of the comprehensive plan as well as the general-purpose intent and basic planning objectives of the code and with the intent and purpose of this zoning district.

2. The development or redevelopment will be consistent with the goals and policies of the Comprehensive Plan, as well as with the general purpose, intent and basic planning objectives of this Code, and with the intent and purpose of this zoning district;

Response: The development previously approved is consistent with the Comprehensive Plan with this parcel being retail/restaurant, and is a permitted use in the zoning district.

3. The development or redevelopment will not impede the normal and orderly development and improvement of surrounding properties;

Response: This development is existing. Please see Pictures of the Building included. Sheet C1.1 for Density and Coverage and Calculations.

4. Adjoining properties will not suffer substantial detriment as a result of the proposed development;

Response: No, the development is a previously approved FLD and is existing, the only modification will be the interior to create an Ice Cream Shop next to the existing Subway shop.

- 5. The proposed use shall otherwise be permitted by the underlying future land use category, be compatible with adjacent land uses, will not substantially alter the essential use characteristics of the neighborhood; and shall demonstrate compliance with one or more of the following objectives:
- a. The proposed use is permitted in this zoning district as a minimum standard, flexible standard or flexible development use;

Response: The existing site was previously approved FLD, our only request is a reduction of the calculations of the existing parking lot, and utilitze the vacant tenant space for an Ice Cream Shop .

b. The proposed use would be a significant economic contributor to the city's economic base by diversifying the local economy or by creating jobs;

Response: The allowance of the Ice Cream Shop will be compatible to the existing Subway and will be a benefit to the surroundinf homes in the neighborhood.

c. The development proposal accommodates the expansion or redevelopment of an existing economic contributor;

Response: The redevelopment of the previously approved FLD will fill the vacant tenant space and create an economic driver for the community.

d. The proposed use provides for the provision of affordable housing; Response: N/A

- e. The proposed use provides for development or redevelopment in an area that is characterized by other similar development and where a land use plan amendment and rezoning would result in a spot land use or zoning designation; or
- f. The proposed use provides for the development of a new and/or preservation of a working waterfront use.

Response: This request is not a spot zoning or landuse designation, nor is this part of a waterfront use.

- 6. Flexibility with regard to use, lot width, required setbacks, height and off-street parking are justified based on demonstrated compliance with all of the following design objectives:
- a. The proposed development will not impede the normal and orderly development and improvement of the surrounding properties for uses permitted in this zoning district; Response: This is an existing FLD approved property with a vacant tenant area, which we would like to allow an Ice Cream Shop to use. The only request is for the reduction of the parking calculations since an Ice Cream Shop does not have the need for parking like a regular restaurant
- b. The proposed development complies with applicable design guidelines adopted by the city; Response: The proposed development is a previously approved FLD and complies with city design guidelines.
- c. The design, scale and intensity of the proposed development supports the established or emerging character of an area;

Response: This development is in existence and the only request is to allow parking calculations to be amended.

- d. In order to form a cohesive, visually interesting and attractive appearance, the proposed development incorporates a substantial number of the following design elements:
- Changes in horizontal building planes;
- Use of architectural details such as columns, cornices, stringcourses, pilasters, porticos, balconies, railings, awnings, etc.;
- Variety in materials, colors and textures;
- Distinctive fenestration patterns;
- Building stepbacks; and
- Distinctive roofs forms.

Response: The design of the building is part of a previously approved FLD and the only changes will be to the interior of the building to allow an Ice Cream Shop to open.

e. The proposed development provides for appropriate buffers, enhanced landscape design and appropriate distances between buildings.

Response: The proposed development is a portion of the existing previously approved FLD with the appropriate landscape design and distance between neighboring properties.





April 30, 2025

#### Drainage Narrative

2006 (2010) Drew Street, Clearwater, Florida 33767 is part of a previously approved site plan including drainage. No additional improvements to the subject site are anticipated, therefore, we do not believe any additional drainage will be needed on this site.

Respectfully,

Donald B. Fairbairn, P.E.

Donald B Fairlain

Northside Engineering, Inc.

Nashville Office